

A Project overview

Title

Sustainable protected areas as a key value for human well-being

63/110

Acronym

GREENHEALTH

11/18

Project ID

01C0250

A.1 Project identification

Name of the lead partner organisation

LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

Policy objective

Greener Europe (policy objective 2)

Specific objective

Nature and biodiversity

Project duration

	Core phase
Duration	36 months
Start date	01 March 2023
End date	28 February 2026
	Follow-up phase
Duration	12 months
Start date	01 March 2026

End date	28 February 2027
	Closure phase
Duration	3 months
Start date	01 March 2027
End date	31 May 2027

A.2 Project summary

Please give a short overview of the project (in the style of a press release) focusing in particular on the issue addressed, the partnership and the overall objective. Please note that, should the project be approved, this summary will be published on the programme's website. (In English language)

GREENHEALTH Project aims to make EU regions more biodiversity-resilient by improving the management of European protected areas through strengthening links and strategic and operational collaboration between biodiversity protection and human health and well-being. Project partnership is composed of seven organisations covering European areas with different levels of development: Murcia (ES), Podkarpackie (PL), Dubrovnik-Neretva (HR), Northern & Western Regional Assembly (IE), Prešov (SK), Scania (SE) as well as EUROPARC Federation (DE) who will act as advisory partner. Protected areas in EU represent a fundamental tool for nature and biodiversity conservation and provide multiple benefits in ecosystem services. At the same time, it is also proven that the COVID pandemic has further emphasised the relevance of natural spaces for human well-being, which has led to an increased number of visitors to protected areas. This has positive impacts for protected areas due to people revaluing them but could pose a risk to biodiversity if public use is not properly managed. Therefore, it is urgent to improve public policies dealing with protected areas, adapting their management to achieve resilient spaces that are accessible for the improvement of all European citizens' well-being, but without compromising their environmental objectives.

1349/2000

B Partners / associated policy authorities

Overview partners

Partner number	1				
Organisation	Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.				
Role	Lead Partner				
Country	Spain (España)				
Geographical area	South				
ERDF/Norwegian	297,151.20	Total partner contribution	74,287.80	Total partner budget	371,439.00

Partner number	2				
Organisation	Podkarpackie Region				
Role	Partner				
Country	Poland (Polska)				
Geographical area	East				
ERDF/Norwegian	160,421.60	Total partner contribution	40,105.40	Total partner budget	200,527.00
Partner number	3				
Organisation	Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County				
Role	Partner				
Country	Croatia (Hrvatska)				
Geographical area	South				
ERDF/Norwegian	111,736.00	Total partner contribution	27,934.00	Total partner budget	139,670.00
Partner number	4				
Organisation	Northern and Western Regional Assembly				
Role	Partner				
Country	Ireland (Éire/Ireland)				
Geographical area	West				
ERDF/Norwegian	220,120.00	Total partner contribution	55,030.00	Total partner budget	275,150.00
Partner number	5				
Organisation	The Prešov Self-Governing Region				
Role	Partner				
Country	Slovakia (Slovensko)				







Geographical area		East			
ERDF/Norwegian	150,899.20	Total partner contribution	37,724.80	Total partner budget	188,624.00
Partner number		6			
Organisation		Kullaberg Nature Reserve			
Role		Partner			
Country		Sweden (Sverige)			
Geographical area		North			
ERDF/Norwegian	225,288.00	Total partner contribution	56,322.00	Total partner budget	281,610.00
Partner number		7			
Organisation		EUROPARC Federation			
Role		Advisory Partner			
Country		Germany (Deutschland)			
Geographical area		North			
ERDF/Norwegian	202,129.20	Total partner contribution	86,626.80	Total partner budget	288,756.00

Overview associated policy authorities

Organisation	Dubrovnik-Neretva County
Country	Croatia (Hrvatska)

Project users as of the AF submission

Users of lead partner "LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment."

<p> Francisco Soriano</p> <p> francisco.soriano2@carm.es</p>	<p> Fulgencio Perona Paños</p> <p> fulgencio.perona@carm.es</p>	<p> Andrés Muñoz</p> <p> andres.munoz@carm.es</p>
--	---	---

+34968368333

No phone number provided.

+34 968 36 68 73

María Luisa Ballesta

mluisa.ballesta@carm.es

+34968228814

Legal representatives

LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

Fulgencio Perona Paños

fulgencio.perona@carm.es

No phone number provided.

PP02 Podkarpackie Region

WŁADYSŁAW ORTYL

w.ortyl@podkarpackie.pl

No phone number provided.

PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County

Marijana Miljas Đuračić

mmiljasduracic@zastita-prirode-dnz.hr

No phone number provided.

PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly

David Minton

dminton@nwra.ie

+ 353 949862970

PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region

Milan Majerský

predseda@psk.sk

No phone number provided.

PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve

Daniel Åberg

daniel.aberg@lansstyrelsen.se

No phone number provided.

AP07 EUROPARC Federation

Carol Ritchie

carol.ritchie@europarc.org

No phone number provided.

Dubrovnik-Neretva County

Nikola DOBROSLAVIĆ

zupan@dubrovnik-neretva.hr

No phone number provided.

B.1.1 Organisation identity

Country

Spain (España)

Organisation

Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

Organisation role

Lead Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

Organisation details

Name in English

Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

93/200

Name in original language

Comunidad Autónoma de la Región de Murcia. Dirección General del Medio Natural.

79/200

Address

Plaza Juan XXIII, nº 4

22/200

Postal code

30008

5/200

City

Murcia

6/200

Country

Spain (España)



Nuts 1

Sur



Nuts 2

Región de Murcia



Nuts 3

Murcia



If you consider the **country** or **Nuts** regions of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

S-3011001-I

11/200

Website

<http://www.murcianatural.carm.es>

32/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



Co-financing rate (%)

80%

B.2.1 Organisation identity

Country

Poland (Polska)

Organisation

Podkarpackie Region

Organisation role

Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

Organisation details

Name in English

Podkarpackie Region

19/200

Name in original language

Województwo Podkarpackie

24/200

Address

Łukasza Cieplińskiego 4

23/200

Postal code

35-010

6/200

City

Rzeszów

7/200

Country

Poland (Polska)



Nuts 1

Makroregion wschodni



Nuts 2

Podkarpackie



Nuts 3

Rzeszowski



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

PL 8133315014

13/200

Website

<https://podkarpackie.pl/>

24/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



Co-financing rate (%)

80%

B.3.1 Organisation identity

Country

Croatia (Hrvatska)

Organisation

Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County

Organisation role

Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

Organisation details

Name in English

Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County

92/200

Name in original language

Javna ustanova za upravljanje zaštićenim dijelovima prirode Dubrovačko-neretvanske županije

91/200

Address

Branitelja Dubrovnik 41

24/200

Postal code

2000

4/200

City

Dubrovnik

9/200

Country

Croatia (Hrvatska)



Nuts 1

Hrvatska



Nuts 2

Jadranska Hrvatska



Nuts 3

Dubrovačko-neretvanska županija



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

HR77404345702

13/200

Website

<https://zastita-prirode-dnz.hr/>

31/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



Co-financing rate (%)

80%

B.4.1 Organisation identity

Country

Ireland (Éire/Ireland)

Organisation

Northern and Western Regional Assembly

Organisation role

Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

Organisation details

Name in English

Northern and Western Regional Assembly

38/200

Name in original language

Northern and Western Regional Assembly

38/200

Address

The Square

10/200

Postal code

F45

3/200

City

Ballaghaderreen

15/200

Country

Ireland (Éire/Ireland)



Nuts 1

Ireland



Nuts 2

Northern and Western



Nuts 3

West



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

IE 9595951i

11/200

Website

<https://www.nwra.ie/>

20/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



Co-financing rate (%)

80%

B.5.1 Organisation identity

Country

Slovakia (Slovensko)

Organisation

The Prešov Self-Governing Region

Organisation role

Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

Organisation details

Name in English

The Prešov Self-Governing Region

32/200

Name in original language

Prešovský samosprávny kraj

26/200

Address

Námestie mieru 2

16/200

Postal code

08001

5/200

City

Prešov

6/200

Country

Slovakia (Slovensko)



Nuts 1

Slovensko



Nuts 2

Východné Slovensko



Nuts 3

Prešovský kraj



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

2021626332

10/200

Website

<https://www.po-kraj.sk/sk/>

26/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



Co-financing rate (%)

80%

B.6.1 Organisation identity

Country

Sweden (Sverige)

Organisation

Kullaberg Nature Reserve

Organisation role

Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

Organisation details

Name in English

Kullaberg Nature Reserve

24/200

Name in original language

Kullabergs naturreservat

24/200

Address

Södergatan 5

12/200

Postal code

205 15

6/200

City

Malmö

5/200

Country

Sweden (Sverige)



Nuts 1

Södra Sverige



Nuts 2

Sydsverige



Nuts 3

Skåne län



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

SE2021002346

12/200

Website

<https://www.lansstyrelsen.se/skane.html>

39/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



Co-financing rate (%)

80%

B.7.1 Organisation identity

Country

Germany (Deutschland)

Organisation

EUROPARC Federation

Organisation role

Advisory Partner



Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060)

Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

No



☒ 15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

By unticking the box above this partner will have to budget and report costs under Travel and accommodation on a real costs basis.

B.7.2 Advisory partner role and competences

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

EUROPARC is a leader in developing policy and practice in the Nature-Health interface. They have links to international programmes, as well as their own "healthy parks, healthy people-HPHPe" commission and work with EuroHealthNet, the body representing Europe's public health sector. With established links to EU institutions, they address all policy aspects of GREENHEALTH. They have an extensive European network and effective communication tools and expertise to share and utilise in the project. They have a significant body of work relevant to GREENHEALTH through European projects. As project lead on communications for the Interreg CEETO project, implemented an innovative governance system for tourism management in PAs, based on a participatory planning approach. The Interreg IMPACT project supported the production of regional management models of sustainable management in PAs. LIFE Enatura.2000.edu, explored the potential of building new approaches and learning methods to improve knowledge and capacity amongst Natura 2000 Managers. LIFE Enable builds practical nature management capacity among N2K and PA managers. Erasmus and LIFE's projects have contributed to the development of their competencies in communications, creating and delivering learning opportunities that support PA management, facilitating stakeholder engagement, advocacy and lobbying as well as overall project management will bring additional expertise to the project consortium

1465/1500

What is the advisory partner's role in the project?

EUROPARC will lead on the communications, capacity building and engagement aspect of GREENHEALTH as well as oversee the implementation of the interregional learning events. Utilising the experience from across our network, they have developed an innovative programme and toolkit Healthy Parks Healthy People Europe,(HPHPe) that addresses policy, partnerships and practice of bringing the health and parks sectors together to build better health opportunities for the communities we serve. They will utilise the work of the HPHPe commission, in the creation of criteria for best practise case studies, provide capacity building for protected area managers and developing effective stakeholder engagement processes (in particular in the regional studies), giving a diagnosis of the situation through a participative process with stakeholders, connecting with the health sectors. They have an extensive European network and highly effective communication tools and expertise within the team, they will share and utilise in the project. They will be in charge of coordinating Communication activities: Communication strategy and other communication tools to raise awareness and disseminate project activities and results to a Europe-wide audience in the protected area and health sectors. The HPHPe programmes will be integral to the project to be used during the interregional learning phase to improve regional policies.

Organisation details

Name in English

EUROPARC Federation

19/200

Name in original language

Föderation der Natur- und Nationalparke Europas e.V.

52/200

Address

Waffnergasse 6

14/200

Postal code

93047

5/200

City

Regensburg

10/200

Country

Germany (Deutschland)



Nuts 1

Bayern



Nuts 2

Oberpfalz



Nuts 3

Regensburg, Landkreis



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

DE286294031

11/200

Website

<https://www.europarc.org/>

25/200

Legal status

Body governed by private law (only non-profit)



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Other



Co-financing rate (%)

70%

B.i.1 Organisation identity

Country

Croatia (Hrvatska)

Organisation

Dubrovnik-Neretva County

Organisation role

Associated Policy Authority



Organisation details

Name in English

Dubrovnik-Neretva County

24/200

Name in original language

Dubrovačko-neretvanska županija

31/200

Address

Pred Dvorom 1

13/200

Postal code

20000

5/200

City

Dubrovnik

9/200

Country

Croatia (Hrvatska)



Nuts 1

Hrvatska



Nuts 2

Jadranska Hrvatska



Nuts 3

Dubrovačko-neretvanska županija



If you consider the **country or Nuts regions** of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

VAT number (or other identifier)

32082115313

11/200

Website

<http://www.dubrovnik-neretva.eu/>

32/200

Legal status

Public body or body governed by public law



If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.

Type of partner

Regional public authority



C.1 Issue addressed

What is the common regional development issue addressed by the project? Please make sure this information is coherent with the programme specific objective you selected in section A and with the description of the policy instruments in section D.

Global biodiversity is decreasing at unprecedented rates, as a result of anthropogenic activities. Protected areas (PAs) represent a fundamental tool for nature conservation and provide multiple ecosystem services. EU2030 Biodiversity Strategy identifies the need of effective management and planning of PAs. COVID pandemic has emphasised the relevance of natural spaces for human wellbeing, which has led to an increased number of visitors to PAs. This has positive impacts for PAs due to people revaluing them, but could pose a risk to biodiversity if public use is not properly managed. It is urgent to adapt management to achieve resilient spaces that are accessible for the improvement of all Europeans' wellbeing, but without compromising the environmental objectives. The creation of links between health and environmental policies and the incorporation of initiatives targeting human wellbeing in PAs programs will have a great positive impact in health, social cohesion and nature management

1000/1000

Why is this issue important for European regions? Please be as specific as possible (data and statistics are welcome if possible).

Europe has the largest number of PAs. Natura 2000 covers 18% of the EU's land areas with over 27,000 sites; this number exceeds 120,000 when nationally protected sites are included (European Environment Agency, 2020). Designated to conserve biodiversity, they also provide multiple ecosystem services, many related to health and social wellbeing.

Multiple studies have assessed the benefits that nature provides for human health (Protected areas are vital for human health and well-being, IUCN, 2015; Nature, biodiversity and health: an overview of interconnections: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2021). However, a better comprehension and coordination between the strategic objectives of PAs sites management and health policies is needed to increase PAs' resilience (Healthy Parks, Healthy People ToolKit, EUROPARC, 2021). In doing so, they can better contribute to human health and to mitigate climate change and biodiversity loss.

938/1000

How does the project contribute to the EU Cohesion policy? Does it also contribute to other European strategies or policies?

- EU cohesion policy objectives 2 and 5 by investing in biodiversity through effective management of PAs, transforming them into a valuable tool for human well-being.
- European Green Deal: would contribute to create more accessible and inclusive areas while ensuring nature conservation goals, building the path for a more sustainable Europe.
- EU Biodiversity Strategy 2030: is aligned with one of the key commitments (the effective management of all PAs). It will contribute to building a coherent EU network and a whole-of society approach by improving knowledge, education and skills on the synergies between health, well-being and nature.
- Actively work with public health policy makers and contribute to EU actions on promoting good health actions and will contribute to discussion on the EU Global Health Strategy
- Actions to deliver sustainable development goals 3 and 11.
- Future EU Nature Restoration Plan by reducing the pressures on protected areas and ensuring their sustainable use

995/1000

C.2 Project's overall objective

The project's overall objective is to improve the implementation of regional development policies in the field of Greener Europe (policy objective 2), in particular to improve the policy instruments described in section D of the present application.

Please further describe the project's overall objective below.

Project objective is to make EU regions more biodiversity-resilient by improving the management of European PAs through strengthening links between biodiversity protection and human health and wellbeing.

Sub-objectives:

- To increase knowledge and capacity building of EU regional public authorities in the following fields: improvement of PAs' management and accessibility; funding opportunities to promote human well-being; promotion of nature-based interventions to attract new groups to PAs; reduction of social inequalities through nature; communication of the benefits of PAs for mental and physical health; new technologies in PAs.
- To develop networks to enable collaboration at strategic and operational levels between health, environment and other key actors.
- To identify, transfer and adopt innovative and successful good practices in the regions to improve regional policy instruments and create the adequate regional contexts to maximise the links between PAs and health and wellbeing.

999/1000

C.3 Project innovative character

Please explain the innovative character of the project and of its expected results, in particular in comparison to similar initiatives/projects you are aware of.

- GREENHEALTH (GH) has an important innovative character, as it will explore, not only the benefits that nature can have for human health, but also how linking both aspects can contribute to nature conservation. This has not been studied by any INTERREG Europe (IE) project before, but GH will build on the results of:
- IE IMPACT: GH introduces human health in the equation of PAs management.
 - IE BIO-REX: GH complements its results, as the valorisation of the benefits of PAs for health and the organisation of such data, has potential to influence decision-making processes and the impact of ERDF funds on the conservation of natural capital.
 - IE INVALIDIS focuses on invasive species in PAs, who also impacts human health. However, the perspectives, approach and methods of GH go far beyond this issue.
 - IE Night Light: GH will build on its results and explore the possibility of incorporating the protection of dark skies in regional policies to improve human health and nature conservation.

995/1000

For projects deriving from past Interreg experiences (follow-up projects), please clarify the added-value of this cooperation compared to the previous experience.

GREENHEALTH does not derive from past Interreg experiences

58/1000

C.4 Project approach

Please describe the approach/methodology adopted to achieve the project's objectives within the core phase.

- How is the learning process organised at regional and interregional levels? If applicable, please describe the different steps you envisage to reach the project's objective.
- What is the purpose of the different types of activities proposed? In particular, how do they contribute to individual and/or organisational learning? How are these activities interrelated?
- How do you ensure the involvement of stakeholders in the learning process?

GREENHEALTH will follow a logical and highly participatory path. Making alliances and working with the public health sector across the regions will be a crucial element. The interregional learning is ensured, among other reasons, due to the fact that the partnership is composed of territories with different levels of development in the project issue.

The project will start with the deep analysis of the partners' regional contexts to set up a roadmap to achieve the goals. Learning will be facilitated through appreciative enquiry techniques, using on and offline learning and engagement tools. Partners will identify valuable practices on project topics (transversal incorporation of health/wellbeing in the management of protected areas, use of technologies, capacity building of managers, creation of health/wellbeing-environment networks, investments in green infrastructure and public use, PAs' carrying capacity, improved accessibility, opening spaces to new audiences...). The advisory partner (AP07) will play a key role in this task, and will use its expertise and experience from the Healthy Parks Healthy People programme (HPHPe) already tested by EUROPARC to support the regional partners. Also, the HPHPe toolkit will be used. Its main pillars are:

- Make the case (influencing nature and health policy and decision making)
- Build partnership
- Develop capacity and practice
- Connect people and nature

These pillars will guide partners in the identification of best practices and in the design of activities with the stakeholders.

Partners will then investigate the identified best practices (BPs) through study visits, online workshops and webinars.

At regional level, partners will work with their stakeholders' groups (meeting once per semester, twice in the 1st semester)) to select regional BPs, to know BPs previously identified in other regions and to get their inputs for the next steps. Stakeholders will also participate in the study visits and other interregional events organised. This will bring together PAs and public health sectors and demonstrate the effectiveness of the healthy parks, healthy people approach.

Partners will receive capacity-building from the advisory partner as part of the Interregional Workshops. In a later stage of the interregional learning process of the core phase, online bilateral peer reviews among exporter and importer partners will be organised. Partners will also work with their stakeholders on integrating the lessons learnt from the interregional learning activities into their own policy instruments.

The project will increase capacities of individuals (partners' staff and regional stakeholders), partners organisations and also entities beyond the partnership, especially other regions members of EUROPARC. Partners will also identify similar projects approved in the current call to set up common synergies and to invite them to take part in the interregional learning events of the project.

The ultimate objective is to transform learning into new policy actions, ensuring that lessons learned from the learning process will lead to improve the policy instruments of project partners. A Risk Management Plan will be developed in Semester 6 by LP01 to mitigate all the risks that may arise during the follow-up phase.

3290/4000

Please explain the approach/methodology envisaged for **the follow-up phase** (i.e. last year of the project)? To what extent are the stakeholders expected to be involved in the follow-up phase?

During the follow-up phase, partners will focus on implementing regional activities to ensure the improvement of their policy instruments. Their stakeholders' groups will be deeply involved, to ensure the project success at local level.

Partners will keep meeting online every 2 months to monitor the implementation at regional level. "Online green and healthy coffee breaks" will be organised between exporter and importer in semester 7 to ensure the smooth transfer of the good practice into the regional contexts.

The Final conference will be organised in Murcia in M43, bringing together executives and policymakers from the regions involved and from other relevant institutions, partners' stakeholders and representatives from the Program with the aim of disseminating project results to a wider audience. This event will be organised back-to-back with the last SC meeting.

879/1000

C.5 Project indicators

Output indicators

Code

RC087

Title	N° of organisations cooperating across borders
Target	61
Code	RC084
Title	N° of pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects
Target	0
Code	OI4
Title	N° of policy instruments addressed
Target	6
Code	OI5
Title	N° of interregional policy learning events organised
Target	22
Code	O16
Title	N° of good practices identified
Target	18

Result indicators

Code	RII2
Title	N° of organisations with increased capacity due to their participation in project activities
	<div>49</div>
Performance (%)	80
Code	RI3
Title	N° of policy instruments improved thanks to the project
	<div>6</div>

C.6 Communication strategy

Please indicate the overall objectives of the project's communication strategy.

Communication will be a key aspect in all the project phases. The strategy will develop innovative and effective communication tools to improve competency within the partner regions, raise awareness and communicate the project. Specifically, it aims to promote PAs biodiversity protection, mental and physical health benefits of nature; to attract new target groups to PAs; to raise awareness on the relevance of PAs to ensure human wellbeing; and to improve financing opportunities for PAs.

491/500

Please describe the target groups of your communication strategy.

- Managing authorities in the fields of nature conservation and health
- Other European public administrations
- Environmental NGOs and other third sector organisations dealing with human health and wellbeing of different types of target -groups (seniors, disabled people, etc).
- Local authorities with PAs
- Universities and research centres (health and ecology related)
- Private landowners of terrains located inside or close to PAs
- General public and users of PAs

463/500

Please explain the main communication tools and channels (including social media outreach) that will be used.

- Main communication tools and channels:
- 1 Logo
 - 1 Website
 - 1 Roll up
 - 3 Social media profiles in Twitter, Instagram & Youtube
 - 1 Promotional poster
 - 2 Videos, interviews and activities demonstration
 - Online promotional media- using stakeholders and partners' testimony and experiences
 - 1 Brochure
 - 8 eNewsletters
 - Participation in regional and national events
 - Meetings with stakeholders and between public authorities and landowners
 - Launching events and final conference

472/500

Please describe briefly how the communication strategy will be implemented and evaluated.

The project will nominate a Communication Manager (AP07) to design and implement the Communication Strategy, and coordinate the dissemination between regions. There will be 3 levels of communication: internal, for stakeholders, and for wider society. Learning tools will be created to enhance the partners' capacity to implement the strategy at the regional level. Indicators and an evaluation system to monitor them every 6 months have been set, allowing corrective measures if needed.

486/500

Will the management of the project's communication be externalised?

No



C.7 Horizontal principles

Please indicate to which extent the project contributes to EU horizontal principles, and justify your choice.

Sustainable development

Type of contribution

Positive



Description of the contribution

The project is built on the foundations of sustainable development, which aims to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Incorporating aspects of human health and wellbeing into protected area policies and plans will allow them to harness their multiple ecosystem services (goods, climate regulation, pollination, recreational & educational benefits...) while ensuring their conservation over time. The creation of networks with stakeholders, the transversal incorporation of health and wellbeing aspects into PAs' management and the communication of the benefits of PAs to citizens (among others) will contribute to build the path towards the sustainable development of European regions.

759/1000

Equal opportunities and non-discrimination

Type of contribution

Positive



Description of the contribution

Protected areas are considered key assets for improving public health and reducing health inequalities. Therefore, investing in nature conservation is investing in social health. (Europarc Foundation, HPHPe Toolkit). IUCN states that "no protected area system could be established or managed without the participation and involvement of people". In line with this, multi-level governance has been considered an essential pillar of the GREENHEALTH project. Moreover, GREENHEALTH project will identify, exchange and select good practices to ensure that all citizens, despite their sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age, or sexual orientation, are included in the decisions taken on protected areas and are able to enjoy them. Lastly, working with health bodies across the regions will bring a clear focus of how the project approach can reduce health inequalities.

892/1000

Equality between men and women

Type of contribution

Neutral



Description of the contribution

GREENHEALTH Project will be framed from a gender perspective, understanding and integrating the relations and differences between men and women in the particular field and context. This includes analysing the inequalities regarding the roles, rights and opportunities of men and women concerning access, use, management and conservation of protected areas. All these aspects have been considered in the design of the proposal and the elaboration of the work plan. Thus, the project will ensure equal gender representation in the composition of the local living labs, in the speakers at workshops and other dissemination events and in the project teams of each partner.

670/1000

C.8 Project management

C.8.1 Overall management

Please describe how the overall management will be ensured? Which body will make strategic project decisions and according to which rule? What will be the composition of this body? If relevant, how will the responsibilities be shared among the partners?

The overall management of the project will be ensured by a solid structure and a clear planning, which will guarantee a smooth technical and financial implementation. The Steering Committee (SC) will be set during the KoM and will be a core decision-making body. It will be formed by 1 nominated representative per partner institution. Collegiate working and consensus decision-making will be applied. Standing orders for the operation of the SC will be agreed. The SC will monitor the correct implementation of the project, take decisions concerning alteration of the Consortium Agreement, restructure work plans, handle risk and solve conflicts. GREENHEALTH Project will ensure that there is no double funding risk in any of the activities to be implemented. The project manager (staff of LP01) will lead the decision-making structure and so, the SC meetings (SCM) that will be held every semester. They will be responsible for the overall technical project management, the establishment of a complete view of the overall work progress, ensuring timely and quantitative achievement of results and objectives, coordination of reporting and conflict resolution within the consortium and organising and chairing SCMs. Financial Management will be attributed to a project Financial Manager under the direction of LP01 and the implementation of the Communication Strategy will be the responsibility of the project Communication Manager (AP07). Each partner will nominate a project team formed by, at least, the 3 following profiles: project manager, financial responsible and communication manager. All partners will be actively involved in all project activities and each group of activities will be led by a partner (see section E). All critical elements of project governance will be regulated by a consortium agreement signed by all members.

1845/2000

C.8.2 Day-to-day coordination

Please describe how the day-to-day coordination will be ensured.

A day-to-day management will be set up to ensure the smooth project implementation, the production of high-quality reports and guarantee the effectiveness of financial procedures. After approval, LP01 will sign the Contract with the Program and will produce the Partnership Agreements with all partners, containing partners' obligations, reporting procedures and payments' calendar. For the time management, a Gantt Chart has been developed with the distribution of activities, indicating time frame, responsible partners, milestones and deliverables to be updated during SC meetings. Additionally, online monthly meetings will be organised to check the implementation and detect deviations or delays. If needed, the SC will take corrective measures. To ensure a good communication, digital tools are needed as e-mail or Whatsapp group. Online meetings platform will also be used. A common online repository for the project documentation will be set up to work collaboratively among partners.

992/1000

Will the project coordination be externalised?

No



C.8.3 Financial management and reporting

Please describe how the financial management and reporting procedures will be ensured.

Financial Management will be attributed to a project Financial Manager (FM) (LP01 staff). All partners will also nominate a regional financial manager. Financial management guidelines will set up the rules and procedures (reporting, record keeping, auditing, eligibility of expenditures, financial deadlines and project cash flow). When LP01 receives payments provisions the transfer of EU funds to the PPs will be done within the shortest possible delay. Progress reports will be submitted every semester and they will include technical and financial information about the project implementation, being also an opportunity to share stories about the project, about its results and successes, with the JS. LP01 will check partners' reports and present the results in the next online project meeting. Partners with decentralized control system will count on the support of a First Level Controller. If deviations in budget implementation are detected, corrective measures will be taken into account.

995/1000

Will the financial management be externalised?

Yes



D Main policy instruments addressed

Overview main policy instruments addressed

Number	1
Name of the policy instrument	PRIORITY ACTION FRAMEWORK (MAP) for NATURA 2000 in the REGION OF MURCIA for the multiannual financial framework for the period 2021-2027
Name of the policy responsible authority	Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.
Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Partner
Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	No
Country	Spain (España)
Number	2
Name of the policy instrument	European Funds for Podkarpacie 2021-2027 – ERDF Regional Operational Programme 2021-2027
Name of the policy responsible authority	Podkarpackie Region
Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Partner
Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	Yes
Country	Poland (Polska)
Number	3
Name of the policy instrument	Regional development plan of Dubrovnik-Neretva County until 2027.
Name of the policy responsible authority	Dubrovnik-Neretva County
Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Associated Policy Authority
Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	No
Country	Croatia (Hrvatska)
Number	4
Name of the policy instrument	Regional and Spatial Economic Strategy (RSES) 2020 - 2032.
Name of the policy responsible authority	Northern and Western Regional Assembly

Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Partner
Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	No
Country	Ireland (Éire/Ireland)
Number	5
Name of the policy instrument	Integrated territorial strategy of the Prešov Self-Governing Region for 2021 – 2030 (IUS PSK)
Name of the policy responsible authority	The Prešov Self-Governing Region
Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Partner
Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	No
Country	Slovakia (Slovensko)
Number	6
Name of the policy instrument	Kullaberg Nature Reserve Strategy and Action Plan under European Charter for Sustainable Tourism in Protected Areas 2022-2026
Name of the policy responsible authority	Kullaberg Nature Reserve
Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Partner
Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	No
Country	Sweden (Sverige)

Overview pilot actions

No pilot actions found.

D.1 Policy instrument 1

D.1.1 Territorial context and general description

D.1.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Murcia Region has an area of 1,131,193 ha, 24% within the Natura 2000 Network. Also, 23 natural spaces are protected by the National and Regional legislation. LP01 is responsible for their correct management and the necessary measures for their conservation but allowing the population to enjoy the multiple ecosystem services they provide, improving human well-being. This requires institutional coordination and the participation of all social and economic agents that have an impact on the sites. There is not an strategy for shared planning and management to promote commitment to environmental conservation but only some specific formulas that have been successful (LIFE, Interreg Med...). Agreements and networks with all agents to implement measures with an impact on nature conservation must be set up. Also, it is necessary to improve knowledge on the ecosystem services and benefits provided by protected areas (PAs) to human well-being. As well, measures are needed to adjust the intensity of public use to the carrying capacity of all PAs. This is especially important considering that some of the regional PAs have registered an increase of 12 % since COVID pandemic. Multiple programmes have been developed in PAs, aimed at providing environmental education for visitors and establishing communication channels and participation in their management. However, these programmes usually focus on communicating natural values, but do not emphasise the impact of PAs on citizens health.

1495/1500

D.1.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

PRIORITY ACTION FRAMEWORK (MAP) for NATURA 2000 in the REGION OF MURCIA for the multiannual financial framework for the period 2021-2027

136/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

Priority Action Frameworks (PAFs) are multi-annual strategic planning instruments that aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the measures needed to implement the Natura 2000 network and its green infrastructure at EU level, specifying the funding needs for these measures and linking them to the corresponding EU funding programmes. Although this document targets Natura 2000 spaces, this network overlaps territorially with other PAs, so this instrument is applied in most of the PAs of the region.

The PAF focuses on identifying funding needs and priorities that are directly linked to the specific conservation measures established for Natura 2000 sites, in order to achieve the site-specific conservation objectives for the species and habitat types for which the sites were designated. PAFs also present additional measures and their funding needs related to enhanced Green Infrastructure. Specifically, the project will address priority 1 (Horizontal measures and administrative costs related to the Natura 2000 network), which includes measures related with PAs management, communication with stakeholders, monitoring, knowledge gaps and public use.

1163/1500

D.1.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

Yes



The PAFs has identified the priorities for Natura 2000 areas for the period 2021-2027. Priority 1, and in particular 1.2 (Site management and communication with stakeholders), 1.4 (Remaining knowledge gaps and research needs) and 1.5 (Communication and awareness-raising, education and visitor access measures related to Natura 2000) are highly related with the GREENHEALTH project.

LP01 will improve the chosen policy instrument through new projects related to Natura 2000 areas management and monitoring, communication with all the stakeholders involved, and bridging the knowledge gaps related with the relation between nature and human health, that will be financed by the priority 1 of the PAF 2021-2027.

Moreover, the creation of linkages between nature and health/wellbeing will contribute to a wider planning and policy improvement and support the case for further investments in PAs, green infrastructures and nature-based solutions.

944/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

No



0/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

No



0/1500

D.1.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 1

Partner

LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

The Spanish Law 42/2007, of 13th of December, of Natural Heritage and Biodiversity states that Autonomous Communities have the competences for protected areas (PAs) management.

The Directorate General for the Natural Environment is responsible for the planning and management of PAs, the Natura 2000 Network, natural habitats and wild fauna and flora, as well as the promotion of the natural environment and the fight against climate change. Therefore, it is the authority responsible of the design, update, management and implementation of the policy instrument addressed.

LP01 has extensive experience in leading (QUALIGOUV, OTREMED, PROFORBIOMED) and participating in EU projects and achieving objectives related to the protection and valorisation of natural heritage. In QUALIGOUV, LP01 prepared a Socioeconomic Management Plan for the towns that border Sierra Espuña, thereby confirming its planning and implementation competences.

940/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

The knowledge gained by the exchange of experience between EU regions will allow LP01 to improve the addressed policy instrument. LP01 will identify, select and implement good practices related with Natura 2000 areas management, monitoring, communication with stakeholders, and will bridge the knowledge gaps in the relation between nature and human health and wellbeing, in line with the priority 1 of the PAF 2021-2027.

New initiatives, networks, and an awareness campaign will be also added thanks to GREENHEALTH project. These activities will increase citizens' awareness on the multiple ecosystem services that protected areas (PAs) provide, which will positively impact their conservation status.

Local Living Labs created in the project will set a stable and operative structure that will be maintained over time. As well, the experience of other EU regions will help LP01 to adjust the carrying capacity of the different PAs, and to adapt the facilities for public use in those areas.

995/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

LP01 has decided to participate in two projects in this Interreg Europe call. However, each project has a different theme and targets different policy instruments and priorities.

The project idea for "GreenHealth" is focused on protected areas and on their relation with human health and wellbeing. On the contrary, BIOWIND project aims to support EU regions to promote energy security and self-efficiency through the acceleration of wind energy developments.

Thus, both applications are targeting key but different tasks of LP01. The extensive experience, professional capacity and high qualification of LP01 will ensure the success of both projects. Technical and financial resources are available for both projects.

722/1000

D.1.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 1

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 9 entries.

SPANISH COMMITTEE OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE CONSERVATION OF NATURE (CEUICN)

84/300

OFFICIAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS OF MURCIA

40/300

TOURIST SERVICES OF SIERRA ESPUÑA COMMONWEALTH

46/300

AGROECONATURA NETWORK

21/300

MOUNTAINEERING FEDERATION OF THE REGION OF MURCIA (FMRM)

56/300

UNIVERSITY OF MURCIA – FACULTY OF MEDICINE

42/300

HEALTH REGIONAL MINISTRY

24/300

INSTITUTE OF TOURISM OF THE REGION OF MURCIA (ITREM)

52/300

PAEDIATRIC ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALITY UNIT MURCIA

54/300

D.1.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No



D.2 Policy instrument 2

D.2.1 Territorial context and general description

D.2.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

The area of Podkarpackie Region is characterised by its unique nature. Within the Podkarpackie Region there are 10 Landscape Parks (LPs) with a total area of over 300 thousand ha, which are connected in 2 complexes: the LP Complex in Przemyśl and the Carpathian LP Complex in Krosno. LPs are areas protected due to their natural, historical, cultural and landscape values and need to be preserved and popularised in the conditions of sustainable development. PP02 aims to strengthen the links between LPs' conservation and population health care but lack experience and knowledge in the area. Moreover, LPs have insufficient staff to implement initiatives and activate local communities. Environmental and biodiversity protection are important topics in the Podkarpackie Development Strategy 2030 and European Funds for Podkarpacie 2021-2027. However, it is necessary to take further actions using methods applied in Europe to improve the resilience of the regional PAs through i.a. intensification of cooperation with local communes and land managers, use of volunteers in landscape parks by improving management, as well as to improve access to PAs on the principles of sustainable tourism. It is also necessary to remove environmental barriers and design friendly spaces, which will encourage people to take care of their own health, e.g. by practising physical recreation, sustainable tourism.

1399/1500

D.2.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

European Funds for Podkarpacie 2021-2027 – ERDF Regional Operational Programme 2021-2027

88/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

Yes

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP02 Podkarpackie Region

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

European Funds for Podkarpacie 2021-2027 (FEP) is the new Regional Operational Programme 2021-2027. It sets out a strategy for the use of EU Funds in the Podkarpackie Region. FEP is one of the tools for the implementation of the Development Strategy for the Podkarpackie Region 2030 (SRWP 2030). It corresponds to the directions set out in the Partnership Agreement for the implementation of the Cohesion Policy for 2021-2027. The thematic scope and logic of intervention of FEP are determined, among others, by the provisions of policy objectives in the following areas: competitive and digital economy, environmental and energy security, health security and social vulnerability, education, social capital, economy, labour market, tourism offer, mobility and communication, which, operationalizing the provisions of SRWP 2030, determine the way of implementing development policies in the Region. One of the priority areas addressed by the FEP 2021-2027 is energy and environment. Within this priority 6 specific objectives have been defined, and the submitted project is in line with the implementation of objective 6: enhancing the protection and conservation of nature, biodiversity and green infrastructure, including urban areas, and reducing all types of pollution. Within the framework of this objective, it will be possible, inter alia, to carry out activities increasing the awareness of residents and tourists concerning the natural heritage and protection of valuable landscapes.

1494/1500

D.2.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

No



0/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

Yes



The project will affect the management and implementation of the European Funds for the Podkarpacie 2021-2027 (FEP) by incorporating in Podkarpackie the process of learning and drawing on the best experience of the project partners, in order to improve the effectiveness of nature conservation activities carried out by the LPs and the use of their potential to improve the health of residents and tourists.

It is planned to improve the management of the policy instrument by incorporating a broader introduction of the theme of linkage between biodiversity conservation in areas of LPs located in the Podkarpackie Region and human health by including a representative of LPs to the FEP 2021-2027 Monitoring Committee (MC), to ensure strong support for the importance of biodiversity conservation in relation to human health. The MC is an independent body responsible for monitoring the implementation of the FEP. Based on Article 40 of the Framework Regulation 2021/1060 of 24 June 2021, the activities of the MC will focus, among others, on analysing the progress in the implementation of the Programme. At the same time, while carrying out its tasks, the MC will approve, among others, the methodology and criteria for selection of projects and any proposals of the Managing Authority to amend the FEP.

PP2 assumes that there will also be opportunities for legal changes related to e.g. inclusion of health protection issues in the protection objectives of LPs.

1465/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

No



0/1500

D.2.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 2

Partner

PP02 Podkarpackie Region

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

The Podkarpackie Region is a regional authority of public administration. An organisational unit of the Self-Government of the Podkarpackie Region is the Marshal Office. The Office's tasks include ensuring conditions for the proper implementation of the region's own tasks under the act on regional self-government. PP02 have gained experience in the implementation of many projects co-financed by external funds, including Interreg Europe 2014-2020, Interreg V-A Poland Slovakia 2014-2020, Poland-Belarus-Ukraine 2014-2020. International cooperation implemented by the Podkarpackie Region is an important element of regional policy. It ensures the flow of experience between different regions and supports raising the quality of functioning, serving the social and economic development of the Region.

801/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

By implementing the project, the Podkarpackie Region will be able to improve the management of this policy instrument, which will be possible thanks to the use of experience and knowledge gained from other European regions. This will lead to an increase in the protection of the Landscape Parks of Podkarpackie Region and to the improvement of people's health. The project will contribute to the implementation of innovative solutions observed in other regions, which will serve to improve access to protected areas on the basis of sustainable tourism. An added value of the project will be also the creation of interregional contacts, which will be useful to exchange knowledge and experience also after the project completion. Stakeholders of the project will be able to take advantage of the solutions and experiences provided during the study visits and workshops to implement them or modify their own activities.

919/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

Apart from the submitted project, Podkarpackie Region plans to apply for funds IE 2021-2027 as a partner in the following projects: ULISES, Unlock-H2-SMEs and SAT.SDI.F.A.CT.ION Satellite data as a framework for regional policy-making to strengthen the Spatial Data Infrastructure. In the period of European programs 2014-2020 in the Department of Regional Economy of the Marshal's Office of Podkarpackie Region 2 branches dealing with, i.a. acquiring funds from EU programs and implementation of approved projects have been established. These branches actively cooperate with other departments of the Marshal's Office in the implementation of projects. Due to the size of the Office (over 1300 employees) and high qualifications of the staff there is no threat to implementation of 3 projects Interreg Europe at the same time.

827/1000

D.2.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 2

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 10 entries.

Association for the Development and Promotion of the Podkarpacie Region "Pro Carpathia"

87/300

National Health Fund - Podkarpackie Voivodship Branch in Rzeszow

64/300

State Academy of Applied Sciences in Krosno

43/300

Bieszczadzki National Park

26/300

Magurski National Park

22/300

Horyniec Health Resort

22/300

Forest District Komańcza

24/300

Community of Przemyśl

21/300

Voivodeship Fund of Environmental Protection and Water Management in Rzeszow

76/300

Regional Directorate for Environmental Protection in Rzeszow

60/300

D.2.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No



D.3 Policy instrument 3

D.3.1 Territorial context and general description

D.3.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Dubrovnik-Neretva Region is a highly attractive and biodiversity rich area, but non-functional due to its geographical heterogeneity.

The management authority of protected areas (PAs) has identified the high need for development of green infrastructure that allows all people to enjoy the ecosystem services the region is rich in. So far, these areas have been subjected to the exploitation of their natural and cultural resources, leaving aside the importance of conserving their values in order to make them sustainable over time. To overcome this challenge, it is needed to establish a balance between exploitation and preservation of the resources.

Staying in protected areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County has positive impacts on human's physical and mental health. Access to its natural environments improves overall mental health, physical fitness level, cognitive and immune function, reduce stress and also can lower mortality rates and development of chronic diseases. Protected areas in Dubrovnik-Neretva County have a notable cooling effect and also in the surrounding built environment. In addition, protected areas in the region could facilitate physical activity and relaxation and provide a refuge from noise. In addition, protected areas in the region could also help to connect with other people in the community and improve social well-being.

1361/1500

D.3.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Regional development plan of Dubrovnik-Neretva County until 2027.

65/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Associated Policy Authority

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

Dubrovnik-Neretva County

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

The Regional Development Plan until 2027 is a strategic planning document which defines the framework for the development of Dubrovnik-Neretva County during a seven-year period, in line with the duration of the European Union's financial perspective.

The Regional Development Plan is an umbrella strategic development document for Dubrovnik-Neretva County, following the guidelines and goals of the National Development Strategy until 2030. It sets specific goals and establishes a framework for the drafting of measures, projects and activities in the operational development programmes for Dubrovnik-Neretva County. The plan is focused on the economic sector and highlights the key risks of the future development, presenting a strategic approach for their minimisation.

The referent strategic goal from the National Development Strategy is strategic objective VIII: "Environmental and Energy Transition for Climate Neutrality" which coincides with Protection of Natural Resources and Combating Climate Change as a public policy priority area.

GREENHEALTH project will address Priority 3 of the Regional Development Plan, which is. "Preserving the environment, improving connectivity and sustainable use of heritage" and target the Specific objective 3.3. Preservation, valorization and sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage

1341/1500

D.3.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

Yes



The Regional Development Plan recognizes the need for further conservation of the natural heritage as it holds large development potential, especially by enhancing the valorisation of previously lesser used rural areas.

Currently, the Regional Development Plan is highly focused on the tourism sector. Therefore, there is potential for its further improvement by ensuring the long-term conservation of protected areas and using the untapped biodiversity potential from non-central parts of the region. On the other hand, healthy ecosystems will benefit not only tourism and economic growth of the area, but also the local communities and general people's well-being. Hence, the balance between exploitation and preservation of natural resources should be key for successful implementation. The current nature conservation measures of the Development Plan lack the strategic approach. The knowledge obtained by the experience exchange among different European regions will be key to identify, analyse and disseminate policy lessons from other more developed countries/regions and implement good practices. This will allow to optimize the design and delivery of new regional development policies. The data and experience from other nature-protected areas will help protected area managers and policy makers so they can later produce more specific measures to be integrated in the plan.

1385/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

Yes



The Regional Development Plan recognizes the need for further conservation of the natural heritage as it holds large development potential, especially by enhancing the valorisation of previously lesser used rural areas.

Currently, the Regional Development Plan is highly focused on the tourism sector. Therefore, there is potential for its further improvement by ensuring the long-term conservation of protected areas and using the untapped biodiversity potential from non-central parts of the region. On the other hand, healthy ecosystems will benefit not only tourism and economic growth of the area, but also the local communities and general people's well-being. Hence, the balance between exploitation and preservation of natural resources should be key for successful implementation. The current nature conservation measures of the Development Plan lack the strategic approach. The knowledge obtained by the experience exchange among different European regions will be key to identify, analyse and disseminate policy lessons from other more developed countries/regions and implement good practices. This will allow to optimize the design and delivery of new regional development policies. The data and experience from other nature-protected areas will help protected area managers and policy makers so they can later produce more specific measures to be integrated in the plan.

1385/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

No



0/1500

D.3.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 3

Partner

PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County

What is the policy relevance of this partner (the link to the authority responsible for the policy instrument addressed and its capacity to influence this instrument)?

The policy authority responsible for the policy instrument is Dubrovnik-Neretva County, which is the founder of the Public Institution for Management of Protected Natural Areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County.

PP03 has been part of the drafting process for the Regional Development Plan and has given its expert advice for everything related with nature protection, protected natural areas and environment conservation. It is also responsible for the management and conservation of protected natural areas as the regional authority.

529/1000

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

PP03 was founded in 2004. Since then, it has been actively working on protection, maintenance and promotion of protected areas - PAs, aiming at preserving nature, ensuring the undisturbed progress of natural processes and the sustainable use of natural resources. It supervises the implementation of nature protection requirements and measures in the managed area and participates in their monitoring. It has carried out multiple projects that focus on the sustainable use of the Dubrovnik-Neretva Region.

PP03 successfully implemented the following EU projects: Interreg Italy-Croatia Change We Care; Interreg Italy-Croatia CREW; Interreg Italy-Croatia ECOSSE; LIFE CONTRA Ailathus; Development of a framework for managing the Natura 2000 ecological network; Mapping, monitoring and management of the cross-border Natura 2000 network at sea; Partnership for Sustainable Use of Protected Natural Areas in Dalmatia; Business Cooperation and Skills Improvement for the Development of Outdoor Tourism.

997/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

With this project, PP03 seeks to emphasise the conservation of nature of Dubrovnik-Neretva County, and contribute to the protection, conservation, improvement of protected natural areas (including Natura 2000 sites) and the associated biological, geological and landscape diversity, in line with EU Strategy for Biodiversity 2030.

PP03 will gain insight into relevant partners' policy knowledge and use it to improve the region's policy for the benefit of the whole community and their wellbeing. Moreover, it will be involved in educational and promotional activities where stakeholders will be actively involved and will organise educational workshops for stakeholders.

673/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

The partner is not involved in any other Interreg Europe application

68/1000

D.3.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 3

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 10 entries.

Croatian Agrometeorological Society

35/300

Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology

39/300

Croatian Waters (Hrvatske vode)

31/300

Croatian Forests (Hrvatske šume d.o.o.)

39/300

Ministry of Agriculture

23/300

Dubrovnik and Neretva County Tourist Board

42/300

Ministry for Economy and Sustainable Development

48/300

Regional Development Coordinator of the Dubrovnik Neretva Region - DUNEA

72/300

Dubrovnik-Neretva County

24/300

City of Dubrovnik

17/300

D.3.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No



D.4 Policy instrument 4

D.4.1 Territorial context and general description

D.4.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

NWRA boasts 3 major National Parks, the most of any Region in Ireland. Also, it has multiple protected European designated sites (Natura 2000) and other protected spaces such as Blue Flag Beaches, State Forests, and Mountain Ranges which are viewed as Key National Assets. As a Country and as a Region, a major overhaul is now required in terms of how Ireland manages its protected areas to make the balance between biodiversity conservation and open the protected areas to increase human health. The Link between the Regions Protected Area's and its impact on Human Well-being would be enhanced thanks to the project. In this sense, the Regional Spatial & Economic Strategy (RSES) is a 10-year Plan for the Northern & Western Region of Ireland. It is designed to set out the detail on how the National Planning Framework is to be implemented at a County Level. In addition to this, the Strategy also clearly identified the outstanding landscapes and natural resources which are a key characteristic and asset to the Region, with a number of National Parks, a long coastline, and a very extensive network of Natura 2000 sites, which are protected under European Law. (Special Areas of Conservation and Natural Heritage Areas). Chapter 5 of the RSES sets out the goals of the Assembly in terms of ensuring a healthy society. It also aims to develop awareness and create a greater appreciation of the benefits of our natural heritage, including on the health.

1457/1500

D.4.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Regional and Spatial Economic Strategy (RSES) 2020 - 2032.

58/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

The primary statutory purpose of the RSES is to support the implementation of Project Ireland 2040 including the economic and climate policies of the Government by providing a long-term strategic planning and economic framework, to bring about sustainable change across the region. The Strategy aims to be responsive to trends (i.e., urbanisation, digitisation and globalisation) while interpreting risk by connecting plans to the Sustainable Development Goals, the EU Growth Strategy and the National Strategic Outcomes (NSOs). The RSES contains Regional Policy Objectives (RPOs) addressing, inter alia, one Growth Tactic (People and Places) and Five Growth Ambitions (Vibrant, Natural, Connected, Inclusive and Infrastructure).

The GREENHEALTH project will specially target RPOs 5.2 (related to landscapes' protection and conservation; regarding coordination between local authorities and promotion of improved landscape management and destination), RPO 5.3 (which consider the declaration of new protected parks/recreational sites), RPO 5.5 (aiming to ensure efficient and sustainable use of natural resources) and RPO 6.6 (focused on developing awareness of the benefits of natural heritage, including health, wealth and well-being).

1238/1500

D.4.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

No



0/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

No



0/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

Yes



The RSES 2020 – 2032 has identified the objectives and priorities of the Region, many of them highly aligned with GREENHEALTH project.

The strategy will be reviewed and as a result of the GREENHEALTH interregional outputs, there will be an opportunity to insert additional Regional Policy Objectives and projects into the Strategy, which will harness the link between the health and well-being of population and protected areas (PAs). These may be related to the recognition of existing areas of natural heritage, the improvement in the coordination with stakeholders, the sustainable use of natural resources and the improvement in the connection to Human Health and citizens' well-being.

D.4.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 4

Partner

PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

NWRA is one of 3 Regional Assemblies in the Republic of Ireland, working with key stakeholders at EU, national, regional and local level to accelerate and optimise effective regional development. Its aim is to coordinate, promote and support strategic planning and sustainable development and to make local government and public services more effective. Also, it plans how to use the land and resources in the region over a long period. PP04 has been involved in providing Climate Action training to local authorities and is currently working on a number of important nature-related initiatives. Moreover, NWRA have been involved in a number of successful INTERREG projects in recent years, such as MOSES (about sustainable Marine Growth and Coastal eco-systems), I-CARE4 Farms (Increasing the Renewable Energy capacity on Farms in NW Europe), OurWay (Examining Best Practice on European Cycleways / Greenways) and Devise (Assisting SME's in making the digital transition)

972/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

NWRA aims to learn from other partner regions, new approaches on the human benefits to well maintained, and flourishing areas of wilderness and biodiversity. Also, it expects to gain knowledge on other ways of planning protected areas-PAs management and on new methodologies for engaging citizens to discuss climate-biodiversity-Public opinion. By this exchange with partner regions and by adopting good practices, the NWRA also aims to establish methods to identify environmental threats, and how such threats can be alleviated. This knowledge will be shared with Irish stakeholders that are instrumental including Local Authorities and Semi-state bodies which have a key role in planning and identifying, expanding, and managing sites. Furthermore, by adopting Good Practices from Partner regions, the NWRA aims to develop new Regional Policy Objectives (RPO) that can be included in the RSES and that align with Ireland's RIS-3.

930/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

The Regional Assembly have over recent years been involved in Multiple EU INTERREG projects (DEVISE, OURWAY, Eurega, AgroRes).

New Applications in this first IE call:

Example 1: BioWind – Strategies surrounding potential wind energy strategies for Rural European Regions.

Example 2: LOTTI – Local identity driving traditional industry transition

Example 3: UrbanCOOP - Better cooperation governance to attain urban sustainable development

The Assembly has in the 2014 – 2020 programme been involved in 4 projects which were running simultaneously, and this is the norm for the organisation, which has the capacity to handle multiple streams of work at the same time. The team within the NWRA is made up of Senior Planners, Policy Analysts, Policy Researchers, GIS Officer and EU Programme Executives.

809/1000

D.4.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 4

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 10 entries.

Leitrim County Council

22/300

Galway County Council

21/300

National Parks & Wildlife Services (NPWS)

41/300

Dept. of Heritage / Culture & the Gaeltacht

43/300

Donegal County Council

22/300

Sligo County Council

20/300

Mayo County Council

19/300

Cavan County Council

20/300

Monaghan County Council

23/300

Galway City Council

19/300

D.4.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

▼

D.5 Policy instrument 5

D.5.1 Territorial context and general description

D.5.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Prešov Region is in North-eastern Slovakia and 8,975 km². For last 3 years PP5 has been involved in "Catching Up Regions", an initiative of the EU Commission and World Bank experts, aiming to help Europe's least developed regions to solve their problems.

Within the region, Snina District was identified as the least developed district and has shown the country's weakest economy. The migration of young people to other more developed areas has led to the gradual depopulation of the district over the last 30 years. One of the opportunities identified to slow down this trend has been the revalorisation of protected areas. In particular, improving the management of the Poloniny National Park and raising awareness about its importance has been considered a way of fixing the population and increase their health. If policy-decision makers and citizens understand the potential of the National Park to recover the economic activity of the area, more resources will be allocated to its conservation. Moreover, since April 2022, the Law of National Parks of Slovakia aims to slow down the logging in the National Park to achieve a "nature close management".

In line with this, PP05 is investing great efforts in improving the communication with National Park representatives to ensure that this protected area is effectively managed, while prepared for citizens' enjoyment. Further knowledge is needed on management models, carrying capacity and best practices to achieve a sustainable protected area

1500/1500

D.5.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Integrated territorial strategy of the Prešov Self-Governing Region for 2021 – 2030 (IUS PSK)

93/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

Integrated territorial strategy (IUS) of the Presov Self-Governing Region (PSGR) for 2021 – 2030 is currently being developed and will be implemented from the second half of 2022. The main goal of IUS PSGR is to create conditions for the balanced territorial development of the region and to increase the quality of life of its inhabitants.

IUS PSGR identifies 4 priorities:

- Priority 1 Innovative and competitive regional economy
- Priority 2 Living conditions of the region's inhabitants
- Priority 3 Developed infrastructure and energy in a favourable environment
- Priority 4 Strategic territorial management and territorially balanced development

GREENHEALTH project is especially related with Priority 3 through the Strategic Objective (SO) 3.2 (Improve the status of environmental components and eliminate threats arising from climate change) and with Priority 2 through the SO 2.1 (Improve the health of the population, increase their security and build an inclusive civil society) and related specific objective 2.1.2 (Expand the range of opportunities for physical activity and healthy lifestyle). Moreover, the project will also contribute to the protection and development of natural heritage (SO 1.2.2), to the strategic planning of the territory (SO 4.1) and to ensure partnership cooperation in the preparation, monitoring and evaluation of strategic documents (SO 4.2).

1388/1500

D.5.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

Yes



All the priorities identified in the Integrated Territorial Strategy are highly related with the objectives of the GREENHEALTH project. Therefore, the project experience expects to improve the instrument through new projects beyond the touristic ones, already existing in the policy instrument thanks to the interregional learning and best practice exchange. The new projects incorporated to the policy instrument thanks to Greenhealth project are related with protected area protection, with the improvement of life quality of the citizens that live in those areas and with finding the balance between nature protection and tourism growth.

At the end, thanks to these new projects, PP5 will count on a solid basis on which to develop a new model of protected area management.

The know-how and good practices exchange that will occur due to the project implementation will provide the Regional Authority further knowledge to prepare new projects in the affected area, which will be financed by Priority 3 of the Strategy.

1021/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

No



0/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

No



0/1500

D.5.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 5

Partner

PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

The issue addressed by GREENHEALTH is one of the top priorities of the region for the coming years. Prešov self-governing region has been implementing the Catching Up Regions Initiative for 4 years, which focuses on the definition of key areas for development. Within the Prešov Region, the geographical area of the Poloniny National Park has been identified with high potential for the growth of ecotourism. In this context, PP05 is intensively implementing its own projects such as the construction of cycle paths and other related green infrastructure. Moreover, PP05 is investing intensive efforts on improving the communication with PAs' managers to create a work structure to cooperate in the development of new projects. This approach intends to positively impact the natural values of the PA.

Regarding the competences, PP05 is responsible for all issues related to Prešov regional development, including the planning and execution of strategies and measures within the region.

990/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

PP05 considers the participation in GREENHEALTH project a great opportunity for the development of its capacities in the area of environmental protection and its linkages with the human wellbeing, which is considered a key aspect for the Region.

The Prešov self-governing region is currently implementing a key investment in the Poloniny National Park, and this project will complement all the work that is being developed. The learnings gained with the project will contribute to improving the management and accessibility of Poloniny National Park and other protected areas of the region. Moreover, this expects to have an important socio-economic impact, improving the quality of life in the Region and creating new businesses and funding opportunities.

758/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

PP05 is participating in another INTERREG proposal, named ORIGINN - Economic and social transformation in rural areas through industrial innovation with emphasis on the agri-food sector project. Although with very different aims, both proposals have in common the necessity of finding solutions to support the less developed and depopulated areas of the Region.

The reduction of logging in protected areas, pursued by the Regional Authority, opens the ground for finding new sectors that contribute to the growth of the local economy. The Office of the Prešov Self-governing Region has sufficient capacities and resources to implement several projects at the same time. Therefore, it has been considered that both projects could be complementary to achieve the goals of the Region, one from the improvement of the protected areas and the other from the improvement of the agricultural sector.

893/1000

D.5.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 5

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 9 entries.

Municipality of Ulič

20/300

Municipality of Runina

22/300

DMO – "OOCR Horný Zemplín

25/300

NGO's active in sustainable tourism: Take naše

46/300

NGO's active in sustainable tourism: TVORenie

45/300

National Park Poloniny

22/300

Observatory in Kolonica

23/300

Technical University in Košice

30/300

Aevis foundation

16/300

D.5.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No



D.6 Policy instrument 6

D.6.1 Territorial context and general description

D.6.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Kullaberg Nature Reserve is located in the NW corner of Scania province in Sweden and has the status of protected area under the category V of the IUCN. Within the EU framework, Kullaberg Nature Reserve is under protection of Habitats Directive and Birds Directive and is a part of Natura 2000. Receiving over 600,000 visitors per year, Kullaberg faces several challenges in balancing its major task of biodiversity conservation with contrasting interests of multiple stakeholders active in the area. Strategy and Action Plan for the period 2017-21 were developed. Action Plan had 10 strategic actions focused on nature protection and sustainable tourism management. After the substantial results obtained with the ECST in the first 5 years of work, PP06 launched the process of renewing the Charter (2022 –26). The pandemic has highlighted more than ever how essential human connection to nature is. Parks and Protected areas are a vital “natural health service”, but need guidance, idea and investment to make sure they can deliver the benefits people need. Current project contributes to development of a better practice with nature and protected areas as a key asset for health and well-being. It will add value to sustainable tourism destination management as it will introduce and evaluate nature-based interventions as a preventive strategy to support mental and physical health. Emphasis on public health would create a basis for development of a long-term public health focused program.

1496/1500

D.6.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Kullaberg Nature Reserve Strategy and Action Plan under European Charter for Sustainable Tourism in Protected Areas 2022-2026

125/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Local

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

This local level policy instrument aims to solve the problem of balancing nature conservation and economic development of the area through innovative sustainable tourism management and enhanced civil society engagement. It consists of 19 Actions and 41 activities, responding to the needs observed after the evaluation of previous certification process 2017 - 2021 in order to improve the management and meet the EUROPARC requirements to promote and implement a sustainable tourism. Six main objectives have been included in the Strategy and Action Plan:

- 1) Conservancy of the natural environment
- 2) Manage the environmental risks (visitors' impact and climate change)
- 3) Improve the management of resources and wastes
- 4) Ensure high-quality visitor experience and the well-being
- 5) Preserve Historical and Cultural Heritage of Kullaberg
- 6) Strengthen the ECST work charter I and II

885/1500

D.6.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

Yes



The Action Plan has identified the priority objectives for Kullaberg Nature Reserve and Höganäs Municipality for 2021-2026. Objective 1 (Conservancy of the natural environment), Objective 3 (Improve the management of resources and wastes) and Objective 4 (Ensure high-quality visitor experience and the well-being) are highly related with GREENHEALTH. Project will improve the policy instrument by using the lessons learnt from interregional cooperation through 2 complementary ways. First of all, PP6 will implement, in its territory and launch a new type of pilot projects related specifically to health and well-being. The data and experience from other nature PAs will help PA managers and policy makers produce more specific measures to be integrated in the plan. At the same time, the Project will improve the management of the policy instrument by incorporating a broader introduction of the theme of linkage between biodiversity conservation and public health by bridging the knowledge gaps related with the relation between nature and human health and coordinate all the actors involved, that will be financed by the Objective 4 of Action Plan 2022-26. Partner network allows for scaling up project results both inside Scania as well as to other counties in Sweden. Moreover, the creation of linkages between nature and health/wellbeing will contribute to a wider planning and policy and support the case for further investment in PAs, green infrastructure and nature-based solutions"

1490/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

No



0/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

No



0/1500

D.6.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 6

Partner

PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

PP06 is in charge of the development, implementation and revision of the policy instrument. During the action plan 2017-2021 the cooperation between government, municipality, touristic organizations, local actors, and international cooperation work improved. These relations have opened many financial opportunities to support the implementation of the action plan. This partnership structure gives them the needed experience to obtain the maximum benefits from GREENHEALTH. Current project initiative adds value to the work as sustainable tourism destination as it brings in the perspective of public health and creates a basis for development of long-term program with focus on intersection of nature and public health in Scania. The aim of PP06 is to maximize the contribution of Europe's Parks and protected areas to key policy priorities on: improving public well-being and reducing health inequalities, protecting, restoring and investing in biodiversity, and responding to the climate emergency

1000/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

Building on lessons learnt from interregional cooperation, collaboration between regional authority, local community, private small enterprises operating in outdoor and nature tourism and research community will be enhanced. This project will test and evaluate a new concept for nature-based solutions as a long-term strategy to include public health considerations in nature protected areas management.

Anticipated project outcomes

- New concept models of cooperation through elaboration of a set of new services promoting nature related activities to support mental and physical health and their importance to possible future pandemic.
- Build a community of healthcare professionals and social service workers to spread the word and become ambassadors of benefits of time in nature to the general public
- Stakeholder mapping and testing a model of private-public partnership facilitating implementation of public health program..

935/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

The partner is not involved in any other Interreg Europe application

68/1000

D.6.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 6

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 6 entries.

Regional Healthcare service provider (Region Skåne)

51/300

Public Health Agency of Sweden

30/300

Höganäs Municipality, Skåne

27/300

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU)

49/300

Kullaberg Tourism Forum

23/300

Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (Naturvårdsverket)

58/300

D.6.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No



E.1 Core phase (Exchange of experience)

Semester 1 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

This 1st semester will be devoted to set a common ground and understanding of the ethos of the project, and the planned learning, stakeholder engagement and the co-design processes. Final design of the methodologies and templates to be used throughout the whole implementation will be completed. A KoM will be organised in M2 in Spain. Partners will take advantage of the travel to better know LP01 regional context.

AP07, as advisory partner, will have an important role with the contributions from the rest of partners and will present partners the methodology to identify best practices at regional level. A capacity-building workshop will be incorporated into the KoM so AP07 describes and explains the Healthy Parks, Healthy People (HPHPs) program and toolkit from EUROPARC Federation, which will be the basis to identify, select and transfer project best practices.

Also, a local living lab - LLL (1 per partner) will be set up at the beginning of the project (M1) with the participation of regional and local main stakeholders (6-8 members): Partners, responsible organisations for protected areas (PAs) and health policies, academia representatives, citizens and associated policy authorities. The aim of the LLLs is to participate in interregional learning events (study visit, interregional learning workshops), share the lessons learned during the learning processes and to give their inputs for the following project activities. They will meet once per semester and twice during this 1st semester.

Then, GREENHEALTH will implement a regional study in each participating region to know the state of the art at regional level and the target point to achieve to then set up a roadmap. An initial diagnosis of the regional situation will be carried out through a participative process with the LLLs, in particular connecting with the health sector. AP07 (with LP01) will prepare a methodology and templates to carry out the regional study (statistical data, description of the regional context, documentation and alignment with other existing regional plans and strategies...). Then, AP07 and LP01 will compile partners' reports into a joint report (M5) including a SWOT analysis, conclusions and a roadmap.

Lastly, an online creative thinking and discussion forum will be organised (M6) by PP03 with the participation of all partners to present the preliminary findings of the regional study and enrich it with the contributions from all partners, especially with the inputs from AP07 and start thinking about potential best practices to be shared with the rest of partners. Project will use online participatory tools such as Padlet or Jamboards, whiteboards with large possibilities to visualise group discussions. Also, warm-up methods to identify challenges, trigger new connections and build a warmer atmosphere.

Connection and meetings with existing projects and initiatives in progress out of the project will help advise and inform partners and speed up learning and capacity.

2996/3000

Communication

AP07 will coordinate communication activities with the contribution from partners. During this semester, GREENHEALTH will implement:

- Communication Strategy (presented at KoM)
- Visual identity, logotype, following the Programme requirements
- Word and PPT templates
- Intra-project online Communication platform
- Project poster following the Programme indications, in national languages and placed in a visible location
- 1 Roll up in national languages for dissemination activities.
- 1 short video (English, national language subtitles)
- Publication of Project information on partners' institutional websites and social media sites. Linked to the project website so visitors can obtain more information.
- Project Twitter, YouTube and Instagram. Linked to the Programme's social media accounts.
- Regional launching conferences to raise awareness and involve regional stakeholders and the whole society
- 1st e-newsletter translated into partners' languages.

956/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

2

N° of good practices identified

0

Semester 2 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

Partners will start with the identification of best practices following the methodology and templates developed by AP07 in semester 1. The nature of the practices can be very different (such as governance approaches, methodologies, projects, techniques, etc.). Considering that the project topic is also very broad and involves many aspects, 3 main thematic areas have been distinguished. The 1st one will be more focused on PA's managers, the 2nd one on the coordination between the different actors that intervene and the 3rd one, on what has been called "sustainable inclusivity".

Moreover, partners will meet with their local living labs members in M7 to present the lessons learned from their participation in the KOM and to identify and discuss good practices related to the 1st thematic area. This meeting will also serve to plan the following project activities at regional level. In semester 2, each partner will identify one regional good practice related to the 1st thematic area: transversal incorporation of health/wellbeing in the management of protected areas, including also the use of technologies and the capacity building of protected area managers and other staff. These good practices will be presented at the Interregional Learning Workshop.

1st Interregional Learning Workshop will be held in Croatia in M10 together with the 2nd Project meeting. The one-day event will be moderated by a representative of the advisory partner. Around 40 participants are expected: Project partners, representatives of the partners' stakeholders (1-2 per partner) and regional actors (guest speakers, policy makers, community representatives) from the host partner (at least 20 people). A report will be produced (PP03) with the main conclusions of the workshop and published on the project website and on the e-newsletter (M11).

Together with the workshop, a study visit to the host partner regional context will be organised with special emphasis on good practices of the 1st thematic area. The study visit will be focused on learning about the good practices' case studies from the hosting region to illustrate the reality of their implementation at local level, the degree and way of involvement of local stakeholders, etc. All partners will make a report after the study visit (M12) with the SWOT analysis of the good practices visited and the potentialities to transfer them into their regional contexts.

PP02 will coordinate the study visits organisation in the GREENHEALTH Project.

Partners will also participate in the Policy Learning Platform activities.

2572/3000

Communication

-Update of Project website with the information of the selected good practices and the conclusions from the 1st interregional learning workshop.

-Update of Project social media accounts.

-2nd e-newsletter translated into partners' languages.

-Press conference organised by the host partner about the 1st Interregional Workshop and the study visit in Croatia.

-Dissemination of the GREENHEALTH Project in regional media (1 article in local press, radio).

-Participation and national and regional events to present the project.

525/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

2

N° of good practices identified

6

Semester 3 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

During this semester, partners will focus on the identification of good practices (1 per region) related to the 2nd thematic area: stakeholders' coordination, including the creation of networks to enable collaboration at strategic and operational levels between health, environment and other stakeholders as well as investments in green infrastructures, including public spaces to promote human wellbeing.

Also, another meeting of the local living labs will be organised (M13) with the aim of sharing the lessons learned from the previous workshop and the best practices presented by partners during 2nd semester and getting organised for the following project activities.

A 2nd Interregional Learning Workshop will be organised together with the 3rd project meeting in Ireland in M16. In the Workshop, partners will present their good practices on stakeholders' coordination and there will be a round table with guest speakers about the topic. It will be a full-day event with at least 40 participants. Partners will invite regional stakeholders to take part in the event (1-2 per partner) as part of the learning process. The hosting partner will develop a report with the main conclusions of the workshop and publish it on the project website and in the e-newsletter (M17). All partners will deliver a SWOT analysis document with the potential of transferring the best practices shared to their regional contexts. Together with the workshop, the hosting partner will organise a 1-day study visit to show the rest of partners its regional context with special emphasis in sharing best practices on stakeholders coordination between environment and health policy makers.

Partners will continue their participation in the Policy Learning Platform activities.

1759/3000

Communication

- Publication of good practices validated by the Programme into the project website.
- Press conference organised by Ireland to present the 2nd Interregional Workshop and the study visit to its regional context.
- Update of project social media accounts.
- Participation in national and international events linked with the GREENHEALTH Project.
- 3rd project e-newsletter.
- Dissemination of project results in regional media (1 article in local press, radio programmes participation).

482/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

2

N° of good practices identified

6

Semester 4 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

Semester 4 will be focused on the selection of good practices related with the 3rd thematic area, which has been called "sustainable inclusivity". This concept refers to ensuring that all citizens can enjoy protected areas (PAs) and benefit from the ecosystem services they provide, but without compromising the long-term conservation of their natural values. It includes aspects such as widening the target of the population who visit PAs, ensuring accessibility regardless of the people's health condition and economic situation, and estimating PAs' carrying capacity.

Regional partners will organise a new meeting of their local living labs (M19) to discuss the lessons learned from the 2nd Interregional Learning Workshop, the study visits and the good practices from other partners in 3rd semester. Moreover, the LLLs will debate the regional situation regarding the 3rd thematic area and identify good practices to be presented in the 3rd Interregional Learning Workshop. This will be part of the learning process and will help to identify the good practices with more potentiality to be transferred at regional level.

Each partner will select 1 good practice on "sustainable inclusivity" that will be presented during the 3rd Transnational Interregional Event in Sweden in M22 together with the 4th Project meeting. Around 40 participants are expected to present in the workshop: project partners, partners' stakeholders' representatives (1-2 per region), guest speakers, representatives from the hosting regional community (around 20 people). The hosting partner will develop a report with the main conclusions of the workshop and publish it on the project website and e-newsletter (M23).

As in the previous cases, the hosting partner will organise a 1-day study visit to make the rest of partners discover its regional context and their good practices on sustainable inclusivity. All partners will deliver a SWOT analysis report (M24) with the lessons learnt from the workshop, the study visit and the good practices shared.

Partners will be also involved in the Policy Learning Platform activities.

2110/3000

Communication

- Publication of good practices validated by the Programme into the project website.
- Press conference organised by Sweden as hosting partner to present the workshop and the study visit of the GREENHEALTH to its area.
- Update of project social media accounts.
- Participation in national and international events linked with the GREENHEALTH Project.
- 4th online e-newsletter translated to national partners' languages.

419/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

2

N° of good practices identified

6

Semester 5 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

After having known all the good practices (GPs) presented by partners and having attended all the Interregional Learning Workshops and study visits in previous semesters, partners will have higher knowledge about what GPs would have transferability potential into the regional contexts to improve public policies dealing with protected areas. Among all the GPs shared and visited, AP07 will develop a questionnaire to be filled in by partners with their preferences of GPs to be transferred and the reasons for this choice. This feedback, together with conclusions of the regional study from 1st semester, will allow to develop a pre-selection of GPs from partners and the potential matches between exporters and importers partners. This pre-selection will be discussed in a one-day virtual exchange meeting, facilitated by AP07. GREENHEALTH does not conceive the exchange of GPs as a unidirectional transfer but expects to create a collaborative relationship so that even exporters can improve their GPs by working closely with importers. That is why they will be called "osmotic peers". In the online event, the different types of tools that will be used for the online mentoring process (Semester 6) will be presented:

- A root-cause analysis to identify problems, resulting challenges and present solutions

- A 5-10 minutes amateur video with a description of the good practice

- A review report with recommendations will be drafted.

A 5th project meeting will be held in Poland in M25 with the participation of all project partners. This trip will be also used to discover the regional context of the hosting partner, so PP02 will organise a 1-day study visit with the participation of partners' stakeholders.

At regional level, LLL members will meet again (M25).

1766/3000

Communication

Publication of good practices validated by the Programme into the project website.

Update of project social media accounts.

Participation in national and international events linked with GREENHEALTH Project.

5th e-newsletter translated into partners' languages.

264/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

2

N° of good practices identified

0

Semester 6 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

During this semester, partners will organise online bilateral peer reviews (M32-M33) between "osmotic peers" following the mentoring methodology presented in Semester 5. At least 3 meetings will be held, 1 per pair. The aim of these online events is to identify root problems, resulting challenges and present ideas for solutions to be considered in the improvement of their policy instruments. The Advisory partner will act as peer reviews facilitator.

LP01 will develop a follow-up plan including a risk assessment plan (M35-M36). During the follow-up phase, project implementation will be exposed to potential risks and therefore, it is important to anticipate them, analyse them and propose potential mitigation measures. Hence, this document will provide partners with an overview of the risks they should foresee and avoid while they are in the process of the policy instrument improvement. All partners will develop a risk matrix that will be analysed by LP01 and presented to all partners, including information about risk identification, analysis, evaluation, and treatment.

A 6th project meeting will be organised in Slovakia in M33 with the participation of all partners. The travel will be used to get to know the host partner's reality and context, and to learn about its good practices and meet its stakeholders. Therefore, PP05 will organise a 1-day study visit for the rest of the partners.

1408/3000

Communication

- Regional final events (1 per region) to show the results of the interregional learning process.
- Update of project social media accounts.
- Participation in national and international events linked with the GREENHEALTH Project.
- 6th online project newsletter translated into partners' languages.

297/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

4

N° of good practices identified

0

N° of pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects

0

E.2 Follow-up phase

Semester 7 (Follow-up phase)

Monitoring results of main phase and, if applicable, exchange of experience

The GREENHEALTH follow-up phase will demonstrate the capacities of partners to influence the selected policy instruments and to ensure the sustainability of project results, especially with the support and active role of regional and local stakeholders. This semester will be focused mainly on activities developed at regional level on the monitoring of the policy improvements and on checking the territorial effects for those partners who have already partially achieved the policy improvements. During the improvement of the respective policy instruments, importing partners may encounter some difficulties in relation with the implementation of specific actions, the participation of some stakeholders or any other problem. This is why, at interregional level, "online green and healthy coffee breaks" will be organised between exporter and importer partners to solve any problem in the importing of practices at local level. In these meetings, the exporter partner will give inputs and feedback to the importer partner to overcome any potential problem. In addition, regional stakeholders from the exporter and the importer partners involved, somehow, in the best practice selected, will actively participate. PP06 will coordinate these online meetings. Partners will meet online every two months to monitor and report the progress of these improvements and they will mutually receive support from the rest of partners. A 7th Project meeting will be organised in Germany in M39.

1484/3000

Communication

- Website and project social media updates
- 7th online newsletter translated to partners languages
- 1 short video presenting the project's achievements
- Project meeting in Germany.

180/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

7

Semester 8 (Follow-up phase)

Monitoring results of main phase and, if applicable, exchange of experience

Partners will continue with the policy improvements. They will meet regularly with their regional stakeholders. Project partners will keep meeting online every two months. During these online meetings, partners will have the opportunity to present the progress in their policy improvements getting feedback from other partners, especially from the exporter partner, if needed. The three last months of the project will be devoted to administrative activities to close the project. In the partners online meetings, an important time of the agenda will focus on the organisation of the final conference and indications from LP01 to all partners about the requirements to submit the final technical and final report. A final project meeting will be organised in Murcia in M45 with the participation of all partners, alongside with the final conference.

851/3000

Communication

A final closing conference will be held to disseminate project results to a wide audience (full-day event with at least 50 participants). Project partners (2 per region), regional stakeholders from partners regions (at least, 3 per partner), stakeholders from the hosting region (at least, 30 participants) and policy makers from the regions involved and from other relevant institutions will participate as well as representatives of the Programme. This final event will be organised in Murcia, in M45 together with the final Steering Committee meeting. An indicative agenda of the event will be:

- Project presentation: special emphasis in the project results.
- Roundtable with high level speakers (representatives from the Commission, international organisations and partners' policy makers) about the three thematic areas tackled in the GREENHEALTH Project..
- Presentation of partners regions policy improvements.
- Recommendations and conclusions

950/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

1

F Project budget

F.1 Budget breakdown per cost category and partner

Partner		LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.													
Country		Spain (España)													
Preparation costs	17,500.00	Staff costs	169,988.00	Office and administration	25,498.00	Travel and accommodation	25,498.00	External expertise and services	132,955.00	Equipment	0.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	371,439.00
Partner		PP02 Podkarpackie Region													
Country		Poland (Polska)													
Preparation costs	0.00	Staff costs	129,129.00	Office and administration	19,369.00	Travel and accommodation	19,369.00	External expertise and services	30,700.00	Equipment	1,960.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	200,527.00
Partner		PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County													
Country		Croatia (Hrvatska)													
Preparation costs	0.00	Staff costs	82,300.00	Office and administration	12,345.00	Travel and accommodation	12,345.00	External expertise and services	32,680.00	Equipment	0.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	139,670.00
Partner		PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly													
Country		Ireland (Éire/Ireland)													
Preparation costs	0.00	Staff costs	186,270.00	Office and administration	27,940.00	Travel and accommodation	27,940.00	External expertise and	33,000.00	Equipment	0.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	275,150.00

service															
Partner		PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region													
Country		Slovakia (Slovensko)													
Preparation costs	0.00	Staff costs	120,750.00	Office and administration	18,112.00	Travel and accommodation	18,112.00	External expertise and services	31,650.00	Equipment	0.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	188,624.00
Partner		PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve													
Country		Sweden (Sverige)													
Preparation costs	0.00	Staff costs	194,700.00	Office and administration	29,205.00	Travel and accommodation	29,205.00	External expertise and services	28,500.00	Equipment	0.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	281,610.00
Partner		AP07 EUROPARC Federation													
Country		Germany (Deutschland)													
Preparation costs	0.00	Staff costs	197,120.00	Office and administration	29,568.00	Travel and accommodation	29,568.00	External expertise and services	29,500.00	Equipment	3,000.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	288,756.00
Partner		Total													
Country															
Preparation costs	17,500.00	Staff costs	1,080,257.00	Office and administration	162,037.00	Travel and accommodation	162,037.00	External expertise and services	318,985.00	Equipment	4,960.00	Infrastructure and works	0.00	TOTAL BUDGET	1,745,776.00
Partner		% of Total budget													

Country															
Preparation costs	1.0%	Staff costs	61.9%	Office and administration	9.3%	Travel and accommodation	9.3%	External expertise and services	18.3%	Equipment	0.3%	Infrastructure and works	0.0%	TOTAL BUDGET	100.0%

* Real cost, no flatrate

F.2 External expertise and services

Item number	1	
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	
	TOTAL	8,500.00
Item number	2	
Description	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – meetings	
	TOTAL	11,075.00
Item number	3	
Description	Publication, dissemination and printing costs of communication material to be used in events and to raise awareness among stakeholders and the general public (i.e. roll up, brochures, posters...)	
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	3,300.00
Item number	4	
Description	regional and interregional dissemination events	
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.	
Type of costs	Communication – events	
	TOTAL	4,000.00
Item number	5	

Description	External support in the design of strategic documents such as the regional study for Murcia (Semester 1), SWOT analysis after study visits (Semester 2, 3 and 4) and the monitoring plan with risk assessment (Semester 5). Corresponding to 22 days of work with an estimated daily cost of a consultant in Spain (216 euros)
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – external support
TOTAL	4,752.00
Item number	6
Description	External support for project management (financial and technical monitoring, evaluation of risks...). This includes support for the whole consortium.
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – external support
TOTAL	77,328.00
Item number	7
Description	Translation costs
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.
Type of costs	Communication – material
TOTAL	300.00
Item number	8
Description	
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.
Type of costs	Management – expenditure control
TOTAL	7,000.00
Item number	9
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region

Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	
	TOTAL	13,000.00
Item number	10	
Description	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – meetings	
	TOTAL	6,400.00
Item number	11	
Description	Publication, dissemination and printing costs of communication material to be used in events and to raise awareness among stakeholders and the general public (i.e. roll up, brochures, posters...)	
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	3,300.00
Item number	12	
Description	External support in the design of strategic documents such as the regional study (Semester 1), SWOT analysis after study visits (Semester 2,3 and 4). Corresponding to 11 days of work with an estimated daily cost of a consultant in Poland (200 euros)	
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – external support	
	TOTAL	2,200.00
Item number	13	
Description	regional and interregional dissemination events	
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region	
Type of costs	Communication – events	
	TOTAL	5,500.00

Item number	14	
Description	Translation costs	
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	300.00
Item number	15	
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	
Contracting partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	
	TOTAL	11,000.00
Item number	16	
Description	Publication, dissemination and printing costs of communication material to be used in events and to raise awareness among stakeholders and the general public (i.e. roll up, brochures, posters...)	
Contracting partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	3,300.00
Item number	17	
Description	External support in the design of strategic documents such as the regional study (Semester 1) and SWOT analysis after study visits (Semester 2, 3, 4). Corresponding to 14 days of work with an estimated daily cost of a consultant in Croatia (120 euros)	
Contracting partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – external support	
	TOTAL	1,680.00
Item number	18	
Description	regional and interregional dissemination events	

Contracting partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County
Type of costs	Communication – events
TOTAL	5,500.00
Item number	19
Description	Translation costs
Contracting partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County
Type of costs	Communication – material
TOTAL	300.00
Item number	20
Description	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings
Contracting partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – meetings
TOTAL	10,900.00
Item number	21
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders
Contracting partner	PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies
TOTAL	11,000.00
Item number	22
Description	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings
Contracting partner	PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – meetings
TOTAL	10,900.00

Item number	23	
Description	Publication, dissemination and printing costs of communication material to be used in events and to raise awareness among stakeholders and the general public (i.e. roll up, brochures, posters...)	
Contracting partner	PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	3,300.00
Item number	24	
Description	Translation costs	
Contracting partner	PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	300.00
Item number	25	
Description	regional and interregional dissemination events	
Contracting partner	PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly	
Type of costs	Communication – events	
	TOTAL	7,500.00
Item number	26	
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	
Contracting partner	PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	
	TOTAL	13,000.00
Item number	27	
Description	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	
Contracting partner	PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region	

Type of costs	Exchange of experience – meetings	
	TOTAL	6,400.00
Item number	28	
Description	Publication, dissemination and printing costs of communication material to be used in events and to raise awareness among stakeholders and the general public (i.e. roll up, brochures, posters...)	
Contracting partner	PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	3,300.00
Item number	29	
Description	External support in the design of strategic documents such as the regional study (Semester 1) and SWOT analysis after study visits (Semester 2, 3, 4). Corresponding to 21 days of work with an estimated daily cost of a consultant in Slovakia (150 euros)	
Contracting partner	PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – external support	
	TOTAL	3,150.00
Item number	30	
Description	regional and interregional dissemination events	
Contracting partner	PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region	
Type of costs	Communication – events	
	TOTAL	5,500.00
Item number	31	
Description	Translation costs	
Contracting partner	PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	300.00

Item number	32	
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	
Contracting partner	PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	
	TOTAL	11,000.00
Item number	33	
Description	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	
Contracting partner	PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – meetings	
	TOTAL	6,400.00
Item number	34	
Description	Publication, dissemination and printing costs of communication material to be used in events and to raise awareness among stakeholders and the general public (i.e. roll up, brochures, posters...)	
Contracting partner	PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	3,300.00
Item number	35	
Description	regional and interregional dissemination events	
Contracting partner	PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve	
Type of costs	Communication – events	
	TOTAL	7,500.00
Item number	36	
Description	Translation costs	
Contracting partner	PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve	

Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	300.00
Item number	37	
Description	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	
Contracting partner	AP07 EUROPARC Federation	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	
	TOTAL	7,500.00
Item number	38	
Description	External support for the design of communication material (Poster and roll up design)	
Contracting partner	AP07 EUROPARC Federation	
Type of costs	Communication – material	
	TOTAL	1,000.00
Item number	39	
Description		
Contracting partner	AP07 EUROPARC Federation	
Type of costs	Management – expenditure control	
	TOTAL	21,000.00
Item number	40	
Description	External support to develop the methodology and templates for the regional study and to analyze and compile the results in a joint report (Semester 1). Support in capacity learning activities of KOM and Interregional Learning Workshops. Corresponding to 67 days of work at a daily cost of 250€.	
Contracting partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.	
Type of costs	Exchange of experience – external support	
	TOTAL	16,700.00

Item number			
Description			
Contracting partner			
Type of costs		TOTAL	
	TOTAL		318,985.00

F.3 Equipment

Item number	1		
Description	e.g. Computer/laptop, software licences		
Contracting partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region		
Type of costs	Office Equipment		
	TOTAL		1,960.00
Item number	2		
Description	e.g. Computer/laptop, software licences		
Contracting partner	AP07 EUROPARC Federation		
Type of costs	Office Equipment		
	TOTAL		3,000.00
Item number			
Description			
Contracting partner			
Type of costs		TOTAL	
	TOTAL		4,960.00

F.4 Infrastructure and works

Item number				
Description				
Contracting partner				
Type of costs		TOTAL		
		TOTAL		0.00
No item found				

F.5 Project budget per co-financing source - breakdown per partner

Partner		LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.											
Country		Spain (España)											
Total	371,439.00	ERDF	297,151.20	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	80.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from public source s	74,287.80	Partne r contrib ution from private source s	0.00	Total partne r contrib ution	74,287.80
Partner		PP02 Podkarpackie Region											
Country		Poland (Polska)											
Total	200,527.00	ERDF	160,421.60	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	80.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from public source s	40,105.40	Partne r contrib ution from private source s	0.00	Total partne r contrib ution	40,105.40
Partner		PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County											
Country		Croatia (Hrvatska)											
Total	139,670.00	ERDF	111,736.00	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	80.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from public source s	27,934.00	Partne r contrib ution from private source s	0.00	Total partne r contrib ution	27,934.00
Partner		PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly											
Country		Ireland (Éire/Ireland)											
Total	275,150.00	ERDF	220,120.00	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	80.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from	55,030.00	Partne r contrib ution from	0.00	Total partne r contrib ution	55,030.00

														public source s	private source s
Partner		PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region													
Country		Slovakia (Slovensko)													
Total	188,624.00	ERDF	150,899.20	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	80.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from public source s	37,724.80	Partne r contrib ution from private source s	0.00	Total partne r contrib ution	37,724.80		
Partner		PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve													
Country		Sweden (Sverige)													
Total	281,610.00	ERDF	225,288.00	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	80.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from public source s	56,322.00	Partne r contrib ution from private source s	0.00	Total partne r contrib ution	56,322.00		
Partner		AP07 EUROPARC Federation													
Country		Germany (Deutschland)													
Total	288,756.00	ERDF	202,129.20	ERDF/ NO rate (%)	70.0%	Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from public source s	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from private source s	86,626.80	Total partne r contrib ution	86,626.80		
Partner		Total													
Country															
Total	1,745,776.00	ERDF	1,367,745.20	ERDF/ NO rate (%)		Norwe gian	0.00	Partne r contrib ution from	291,404.00	Partne r contrib ution from	86,626.80	Total partne r contrib ution	378,030.80		

public
source
s

private
source
s

F.6 Spending plan

Core phase

Partner		LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.														
Preparation costs	17,500.00	Semester 1	34,428.00	Semester 2	65,295.00	Semester 3	48,451.00	Semester 4	48,151.00	Semester 5	43,100.00	Semester 6	42,410.00	TOTAL BUDGET	371,439.00	
Partner		PP02 Podkarpackie Region														
Preparation costs	0.00	Semester 1	26,174.00	Semester 2	28,476.00	Semester 3	25,331.00	Semester 4	25,031.00	Semester 5	25,271.00	Semester 6	27,774.00	TOTAL BUDGET	200,527.00	
Partner		PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County														
Preparation costs	0.00	Semester 1	19,696.00	Semester 2	20,576.00	Semester 3	17,327.00	Semester 4	17,127.00	Semester 5	13,936.00	Semester 6	19,136.00	TOTAL BUDGET	139,670.00	
Partner		PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly														
Preparation costs	0.00	Semester 1	37,045.00	Semester 2	33,695.00	Semester 3	39,490.00	Semester 4	34,670.00	Semester 5	28,945.00	Semester 6	38,905.00	TOTAL BUDGET	275,150.00	
Partner		PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region														
Preparation costs	0.00	Semester 1	27,378.00	Semester 2	23,478.00	Semester 3	24,253.00	Semester 4	24,053.00	Semester 5	19,878.00	Semester 6	30,878.00	TOTAL BUDGET	188,624.00	
Partner		PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve														
Preparation costs	0.00	Semester 1	35,421.00	Semester 2	38,451.00	Semester 3	36,312.00	Semester 4	41,192.00	Semester 5	29,721.00	Semester 6	40,711.00	TOTAL BUDGET	281,610.00	
Partner		AP07 EUROPARC Federation														

Preparation costs	0.00	Semester 1	28,992.00	Semester 2	43,212.00	Semester 3	40,492.00	Semester 4	40,652.00	Semester 5	40,712.00	Semester 6	39,352.00	TOTAL BUDGET	288,756.00
Partner	Total														
Preparation costs	17,500.00	Semester 1	209,134.00	Semester 2	253,183.00	Semester 3	231,656.00	Semester 4	230,876.00	Semester 5	201,563.00	Semester 6	239,166.00	TOTAL BUDGET	1,745,776.00
Partner	% of Total budget														
Preparation costs	1.00	Semester 1	12.0%	Semester 2	14.5%	Semester 3	13.3%	Semester 4	13.2%	Semester 5	11.5%	Semester 6	13.7%	TOTAL BUDGET	100.00

Follow-up and closure phase

Partner	LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.				
Semester 7	37,986.00	Semester 8 and closure	34,118.00	TOTAL BUDGET	371,439.00
Partner	PP02 Podkarpackie Region				
Semester 7	21,258.00	Semester 8 and closure	21,212.00	TOTAL BUDGET	200,527.00
Partner	PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County				
Semester 7	13,686.00	Semester 8 and closure	18,186.00	TOTAL BUDGET	139,670.00

Partner		PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly			
Seme ster 7	29,645.00	Seme ster 8 and closure	32,755.00	TOTAL BUDGET	275,150.00
Partner		PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region			
Seme ster 7	19,553.00	Seme ster 8 and closure	19,153.00	TOTAL BUDGET	188,624.00
Partner		PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve			
Seme ster 7	30,801.00	Seme ster 8 and closure	29,001.00	TOTAL BUDGET	281,610.00
Partner		AP07 EUROPARC Federation			
Seme ster 7	30,232.00	Seme ster 8 and closure	25,112.00	TOTAL BUDGET	288,756.00
Partner		Total			
Seme ster 7	183,161.00	Seme ster 8 and closure	179,537.00	TOTAL BUDGET	1,745,776.00
Partner		% of Total budget			
Seme ster 7	10.5%	Seme ster 8 and closure	10.3%	TOTAL BUDGET	100.00

G Annexes

Partners

LP01 Autonomous Community of the Region of Murcia. General Directorate of the Natural Environment.

1-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_MUR.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:33 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

PP02 Podkarpackie Region

2-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_POL.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:34 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

PP03 Public Institution for the management of protected natural areas of Dubrovnik-Neretva County

3-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_CRO.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:34 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

PP04 Northern and Western Regional Assembly

4-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_NWRA.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:34 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

PP05 The Prešov Self-Governing Region

5-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_SK.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:34 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

PP06 Kullaberg Nature Reserve

6-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_SWE.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:34 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

AP07 EUROPARC Federation

7-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_EUROPARC.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:35 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es

Associated policy authorities

Dubrovnik-Neretva County

3B-Declaration_GREENHEALTH_DNZ signed.pdf | 13/02/2023, 11:35 | francisco.soriano2@carm.es